# Exhibit A

NOV 2 - 2007

PATENT

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

(MBHB Case No. 03-509-A)

In the Application of:

Conf. No.: 8244 .

Matichuk

) Group Art Unit: 2145

Serial No. 09/972,424

Examiner: Choudhury, A.

Filed: Oct. 4, 2001

TOTAL PAGES: 9

For: One Click Web Records

# TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Box AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450

In regard to the above identified application:

- 1. We are transmitting herewith the attached:
  - a. Notice of Appeal
  - b. Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review
  - c. Reasons for Review of Final Office Action (5 pages)
  - d. Petition for Three Month Extension of Time
- 2. With respect to additional fees, please charge \$1,440.00 to Deposit Account No. 13-2490 to cover the \$510.00 for the Notice of Appeal fee and \$1,050.00 for the three-month extension of time fee, less the \$120.00 paid on September 4, 2007 for extension of the same due date.
- Please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-2490.
- 4, CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8(a). The undersigned hereby certifies that this Transmittal Letter and the paper, as described in paragraph 1 hereinabove, are being transmitted on this date to the USPTO facisimile number 571-273-8300, according to 37 CFR 1.6(d) addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner of Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 2313-1450.

Dated: November 2, 2007

Jeffrey A. Steck Reg. No. 40.184

PATENT

# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 2 - 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

(MBHB Case No. 03-509-A)

In the Application of:

Conf. No.: 8244

Matlchuk

Group Art Unit: 2145

Serial No. 09/972,424

Examiner: Choudhury, A.

Filed: Oct. 4, 2001

For: One Click Web Records

#### NOTICE OF APPEAL

Box AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 11/85/2687 PCHUNP 88888834 132498 89972424

01 FC:1401 510.00 DA

Applicants hereby appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences from the last final decision of the Examiner. Please charge the \$510.00 fee for filing a Notice of Appeal under 37 C.F.R. § 41.31(a), pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 41.20(b), and the \$1.050.00 fee for a three-month extension, and please credit the \$1.20.00 fee previously paid on Sept. 4, 2007 for a one-month extension, and please charge any additional fees or credit any additional overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 13-2490.

Applicant is filing herewith a Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review as well.

Date: November 2, 2007

### RECEIVED @ 003 CENTRAL FAX CENTER

# NOV 2 - 2007

Doc Code: AP.PRE.REQ		Approved for use t	PTC/9B/98 (07-05) hrough xx/xx/200x, OMB 0651-00xx	
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to resp	ond to a collection of	nd Tredemerk Office; U of information unless it o	S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE lisolays a valid DMB control number.	
PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		Docket Number (Optional) 03-509-A		
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted to the USPTO facsimile number 571-273-8300, according to 37 CFR 1.6(d) addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8[a]]	Application N 09/972,424		Filed October 4, 2001	
on November 2, 2007	First Named I Matichuk	nventor		
——————————————————————————————————————	Art Unit	E	caminer	
Typed or printed name Jeffrey A. Steck	2145	Ā	. Choudhury	
Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above with this request.	e-Identified ap	plication. No am	endments are being filed	
This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.				
The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the att Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provide	ached sheet(s d.	s).		
Lam the		~ // ·		
applicant/inventor.	4	M/ 52	onature	
ssignee of record of the entire Interest.  See 37 CFR 3,71, Statement under 37 CFR 3,73(b) is enclosed.  (Form PTO/Stl/95)	Jeffre	y A. Steck	printed name	
attorney or agent of record.				
Registration number 40,184	312 9	13-2115	one number	
		retepn	one number	
attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.	Nove	mber 2, 2007		
Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34			Date	
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the ent Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below	ire interest or the	ir representative(s) a	re required.	
*Total of1 forms are submitted.				

This collection of Information is projected by 55 U.S.O. 150. The information is mainted to obtain or scale to benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to proceed) an application. Conflictmentally is governed by 95 U.S.O. 122 and 37 CPT 1.11, 1.14 and 41.2. This control is a settinate to be the 12 minutes to be considered by the USPTO of the 12 minutes o

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and salect option 2.

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER NOV 2 - 2007

#### STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR REVIEW

The invention as claimed in the pending claims relates generally to a type of remote programming of a media recorder, performed over a network such as the World Wide Web, For example, an individual browsing the Web at his office may see on a Web page an advertisement for a scheduled television program. The applicant's disclosure enables that individual to program his home media recorder to record the program simply by clicking on the advertisement from his office. Because an individual's office and his home media recorder may be widely separated, the applicant's disclosure describes technologies for associating the user with his recorder. These technologies make use of identification information, such as digital "cookies," each of which can contain "user id and a unit serial number for the (digital video recorder) associated with the user id." Par. [00182].

Whereas the technologies described in the applicants' disclosure enable a particularly convenient way to program one's recorder over the Web, the Killian reference (U.S. Patent No. 6,163,316) alleged to anticipate all clalms the present application merely provides a way to program one's recorder locally. A local user of Killian's system may benefit from other capabilities of the Web: for example, program guide information can free a user from manually entering a program's start time, and the user may be able to view a Web site about a program while the program is playing. This is not the same, though, as being able to program one's recorder over the Web using the technologies disclosed by the applicant. Given the divergent goals of the applicant's disclosure versus that of Killian, it is not surprising that Killian fails to disclose each element recited in the applicant's claims. Because of these shortcomings of the Killian disclosure, described in further detail below, Killian cannot anticipate the applicant's claims, and the applicant respectfully requests

reconsideration and withdrawal of the claim rejections,

#### A. Claim 1

Claim 1 recites the use of an "advertisement" in the programming of a media recorder. It provides for "selection of the advertisement," and programming of the media recorder is performed "in response to selection of the advertisement." Killian does not disclose any such uses of an advertisement. In particular, the only advertisements described in Killian are advertisements for products shown during a commercial break:

During a commercial break in the program, information regarding the advertised product might be retrieved from a web site associated with the product and synchronously and integrally displayed along with the commercial.

See col. 5. lines 62-66.

For example, with respect to updating integrated displays, if a program switches to a commercial break, control API 60 may cause a web page or other Internet information associated with the advertised product to be displayed on television 40 in synchronization with the displayed commercial to provide additional product information or an opportunity to order or comment on the product.

See col. 7, lines 16-22. There is no disclosure in Killian of any recording being performed or scheduled in response to any advertisement.

#### B. Claims 19, 24, and 37

Claims 19, 24, and 37 all recite a step of "receiving ... a selection of an advertisement of a broadcast program." As noted above with respect to claim 1, Killian does not disclose any "advertisement of a broadcast program," nor any way to select any such advertisement.

These claims further recite the extraction of "identification information" that is used for "logging into the source web service" (claim 19) or "accessing" such a service (claims 24, 37). The Office Action equates the claimed "identification information" with the "viewer profiles" described by Killian in column 10, lines 1-17. The viewer profiles described in that excerpt of Killian, however, are not used to log in to any web service; rather, they amount only to a collection of user preferences:

For each option presented to the viewer in connection with preference templates 82, preference templates 82 allow the viewer to provide ranking information that EPG 70 uses to generate viewer profile 84 and provide enhanced viewing opportunities according to viewer profile 84, as discussed more fully below. Referring to FIG. 4, gener preference template 82 includes options 86 and corresponding rankings 88 in any suitable presentation format that is viewable on television 40. In one embodiment, the viewer provides a ranking 88 for each option 86 to indicate the desirability of programming associated with option 86 according to any suitable scale, standard, or other criteria. For example, for each option 86, template 82 might include any number of circles, boxes, or other locations on template 82 that each correspond to a qualitative assessment of the degree to which the viewer will likely enjoy programming associated with option 86.

Col. 10, lines 1-17. It is not disclosed in Killian to use one's user preferences to log on to a web service. Moreover, the Office Action equates the claimed "web service" with Killian's disclosure of "an EPG applet 70 that is downloaded from the Internet to run on platform 12." Col. 8, lines 5-7. This applet, however, is not a "web service." It may originally have been downloaded from the Internet, but it is executed locally, and the tasks it performs are performed locally. By analogy, a person running a copy of Adobe Acrobat or other software on his local computer is not said to be using a "web service" merely because that software may have been purchased and downloaded over the web.

#### C. Claim 29

Claim 29 recites the use of a "first server" and a "second server." The Office Action equates these servers with elements 48 and 48, respectively, of Killian. Although element 46 is a "database server," element 48 is merely the "database" that is maintained by the database server; it is not a separate server. In particular, claim 29 recites that the second server operates to "authenticatle]" the user and to "program the media-based device." Killian, however, contains no disclosure of elements 46 or 48—or any other element—performing "authentication." Elements 46 and 48 merely provide program schedules: they do not require authentication to access these schedules, and even if their scheduling information is useful for programming the recorder of Killian, they do not themselves "access[] the media-based device to program the media-based device," as recited in claim 29.

# D. Claim 33

Claim 33 recites the programming of a media-based device in response to selection of an online advertisement, a feature not disclosed in Killian. Moreover, claim 33 recites that selection of the advertisement is performed at "a client side system," while the automatic programming of the media-based device is performed by "a server side system." In the rejection of claim 33, the Office Action equates the claimed "server side system" with the use of a local "EPG API" that queries a program guide database (48). The program guide database, however, merely provides information on the program schedule; it does not program the media-based device. Rather, the media device is programmed on the client side, by the EPG API.

# E. Claim 35

Claim 35 recites the programming of a media-based device through a selection of an advertisement. As discussed above, this feature is not disclosed in Killian.

Date: November 2, 2007

NOV 2 - 2007

PTO(SB/22 (12-04)
Approved for use through 7/31/2006, OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARMENT OF COMMERCE
to a collection of information unless if diagraps a valid OMB control number.

PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a)			36(a) Docket Number 03-509-A	Docket Number (Optional)			
	Enge nu	FY 2005 rsuant to the Consolidated Appropriations	Act. 2005 (H.R. 4				
Application Number 09/972,424			Filed October	4, 2001			
For	One CII	ck Web Records					
Art U	Jnit 214	5 .		Examiner A.	Choudhury	/	
	is a rec	quest under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13	6(a) to extend t	the period for filing a rep	ly in the abo	ove identified	
The	reques	ted extension and fee are as follows (che	ck time period d	lestred and enter the app	ropriate fee	below):	
			Fee	Small Entity Fee			
		One month (37 CFR 1.17(a)(1))	\$120	\$60	\$		
		Two months (37 CFR 1.17(a)(2))	\$450	\$225	\$		
	$\boxtimes$	Three months (37 CFR 1.17(a)(3))	\$1020	\$510	· \$	1,050.00	
		Four months (37 CFR 1.17(a)(4))	\$1590	\$795	\$		
		Five months (37 CFR 1.17(a)(5))	\$2160	\$1080	\$		
	Applic	ant claims small entity status. See 37 CFF	R 1.27.				
	A check in the amount of the fee is enclosed.						
	The Director has already been authorized to charge fees in this application to a Deposit Account.						
⊠	The Director is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account Number 13-2490. I have enclosed a duplicate copy of this sheet.						
	WARN Provid	ING: Information on this form may become e credit card information and authorization	public. Credit ca on PTO-2038.	rd information should no	be included	on this form.	
				11/00/096	/ YLHUIIY	<b>866761 66689999</b>	
١,	the .	applicant/inventor.		UC FLIJE	s 9.	dn.idn bH	
l an							
i an		assignee of record of the ent Statement under 37 CFR	ire interest. Se 3.73(b) is enc	ee 37 CFR 3.71. losed (Form PTO/SB/	96).		
lan		assignee of record of the ent Statement under 37 CFR  attorney or agent of record. F	3.73(b) is enc	losed (Form PTO/SB/	96).		
Ian	$\sim$	Statement under 37 CFR	3.73(b) is end Registration No FR 1.34.	losed (Form PTO/SB/ umber <u>40,184</u>	96).		
l an	Qur	Statement under 37 CFR  statement under 37 CFR  attorney or agent of record. F  attorney or agent under 37 C  Registration number if acting un	3.73(b) is end Registration No FR 1.34.	losed (Form PTO/SB/ umber <u>40,184</u>	2, 2007		
	effrey A	Statement under 37 CFR  attorney or agent of record. F  attorney or agent under 37 C	3.73(b) is end Registration No FR 1.34.	losed (Form PTO/SB/ umber <u>40,184</u> 	2, 2007 Data		

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.138(p). This information is required to obtain or retain a bound by the public which is to fic (and by the USFFCO by process) an application. Certificialistic is growned by 35 U.S.C. 128 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is pastimated to bus eitherwises to be comparable, multiple addressing, prespains, and includes the comparable of the compar

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTC-9199 and select option 2

American LegalNel, Inc.